

**REMARKS**

In the office action mailed May 20, 2010, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 7, 8, 10-12, 66, and 84-130, as being based upon a defective re-issue oath under 35 U.S.C. §251. The Examiner indicated that all claims would be allowed if the rejection based on the oath/declaration was overcome.

Applicants submit herewith revised Declarations of the inventors to obviate the Examiner's rejection.

**SUMMARY**

Applicants have made a genuine effort to respond to the Examiner's rejection and advance prosecution of this case. Applicants respectfully submit that all formal and substantive requirements for patentability have been met and that this case is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

No additional fee is believed to be due as a result of the filing of this paper. However, please charge any fees to our deposit account 02-3978.

Respectfully submitted.

**WARREN S. SLUTTER, et al.**

By: /David S. Bir/  
David S. Bir  
Attorney/Patent Agent  
Reg. No. 38,383

Dated: August 9, 2010

**Brooks Kushman P.C.**  
1000 Town Center, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Southfield, MI 48075

Phone: (248) 358-4400  
Fax: (248) 358-3351